

THE BUTCHER OF OMAHA: WARREN BUFFETT, THE GATES FOUNDATION, AND BILLIONS FOR ABORTION

He's been called the "Wizard of Omaha," America's most revered investor and famous penny pincher. But that conceals Warren Buffett's dark side: Untold billions of dollars for abortion-on-demand in the U.S. and experiments on poor Africans, and that's just the tip of the iceberg. In this groundbreaking report, Restoration News exposes this massive money pipeline—including its favorite outlet, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation—and reveals Buffett's global depopulation agenda.

WRITTEN BY:
HAYDEN LUDWIG
DIRECTOR OF POLICY RESEARCH
RESTORATION OF AMERICA

The biggest funder of abortion in human history poses as America's most celebrated philanthropist. He's the sixth-wealthiest man in the world, with a net worth exceeding \$117 billion. You know him as the "Oracle," "Sage," or "Wizard" of Omaha, Nebraska, for his magic ability to predict market trends, a skill he began honing at age 11. And he's perhaps the country's most frugal billionaire, living in the same house he bought in 1958 for \$31,500, driving a modest car, and breakfasting on McDonalds (sometimes with coupons). When asked about his great success, Warren Buffett's answer is poignant:

“My wealth has come from a combination of living in America, some lucky genes, and compound interest.”



Credit: Fortune.

Although his father, Howard, was a Republican congressman representing Omaha in the 1940s, Buffett isn't especially vocal about his political views. He describes himself as something short of a "card-carrying Democrat"—though he's called for higher taxes on the wealthy and sympathizes with socialist Sen. Bernie Sanders. Leftists regularly chide him for not being "progressive" enough in the boardroom of Berkshire Hathaway, the \$381 billion company he's run since 1965.

Yet this unassuming investment giant deserves another title: the secret patron of Abortion Inc.

Since 2000, Buffett has funneled an incredible **\$5.3 billion** into abortion providers as well as the vast array of activist, lobbying, and policy groups insulating the abortion industry in Washington—and that’s a conservative figure.

It’s enough to pay for nearly **10 million abortions** at \$550 a pop, according to estimates from the pro-abortion Guttmacher Institute. That’s equivalent to the entire population of Portugal or Greece; or the combined populations of New Hampshire, Maine, Rhode Island, Montana, Delaware, North and South Dakota, Alaska, Wyoming, and Vermont; or better yet, enough to abort all the people living in Buffett’s home state, Nebraska, *five times over*.

You’d think this stunning fact would warrant more media attention. Yet Buffett’s support for pro-death groups has flown under the radar because it’s masked as “philanthropy.”

Since 2002, Buffett has poured a stunning **\$41 billion** into four foundations, each of which bankrolls groups expanding abortion-on-demand policies nationwide or even sustain the United Nations’ population control campaign in impoverished countries. Three of these little-known foundations are controlled by Buffett’s close family members. The fourth is anything but obscure—the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, one of the biggest grantmaking institutions in the world and a mainstay of the Left.

At least part of this largesse stems from the Giving Pledge, a campaign

Buffett and his close friend Bill Gates launched in 2010 to commit the ultra-wealthy to give away a majority of their wealth (in their case, 99 percent of it). While not necessarily political, many of the pledgers routinely funnel their millions into “progressive” activism, including Facebook co-founders Mark Zuckerberg and Dustin Moskovitz, and Pierre Omidyar and Jeff Skoll of eBay. In 2011, then-President Barack Obama even hosted Buffett in the White House for an “update” on the Giving Pledge’s progress.

For Buffett, that means donating nearly all of his Berkshire Hathaway shares—routing billions of dollars to these four politically active foundations and making Buffett one of the Left’s top mega-donors and, in CNBC’s words, America’s “most charitable billionaire.”

In this report, Restoration News digs deep into the Buffett machine to reveal his far-reaching influence across America’s political landscape, including his support for the world’s top “dark money” network. We make no secret of our goal: Rebranding Warren Buffett as the next George Soros and restoring genuine philanthropy to its original purpose, the Christian love of one’s neighbor.

The Devil You Don’t Know

To most it’s unheard of, but Buffett’s funding for abortion advocacy and contraception has made him a hero in leftist circles. “Have an IUD? Thank Warren Buffett,” the *Washington Post* wrote in 2015, referencing his support for intrauterine device development in the early 2000s.

“You mean you didn’t know Warren Buffett’s foundation has been funding abortion rights organizations?” NPR wrote in 2006. “Well, that’s just the way the Buffetts wanted it.”

“Warren Buffett has never spoken publicly about his views on abortion,” the *New York Times* observed in 2010. “But in the 1990s [his foundation] helped finance the research and development of the [mifepristone] pills that induce abortion . . . [and] helped finance a lawsuit to overturn the ban on so-called partial-birth abortion in Nebraska.”

Even far-left Vox dropped its usual “eat-the-rich” dogma in 2019 to praise the Omaha billionaire:

“ If you could snap your fingers and rid the world of billionaire philanthropists instantly, hundreds of millions of women worldwide would lose access to contraception, and **Buffett is the leading provider of abortion access for poor women in the U.S.** ”

[emphasis added].

Buffett’s late ex-wife, Susan Thompson, arguably revealed more about his pro-abortion views than did the Omaha investor. “Warren feels that women all over the world get short-changed,” she told Charlie Rose in a 2004 TV interview. “That’s why he’s so pro-choice.”

“For Warren, it’s economic,” an ex-Buffett Foundation program director told *Bloomberg* four years later (though the interview wasn’t revealed until 2015).

“He thinks that unless women can control their fertility—and that it’s basically their right to control their fertility—that you are sort of wasting more than half of the brainpower in the United States. Well, not just the United States. Worldwide.”

That’s a feminist spin on an uglier belief in looming overpopulation. For decades, Buffett’s committed a fortune to what his biographer calls his “Malthusian dread” (named for 19th century British demographer Thomas Malthus) that humans will reproduce ourselves into oblivion, endangering global supplies of “food, housing, and even human survival.”

“[Population control] was what my father has always believed was the biggest and most important issue,” Susie Buffett told the *Chronicle of Philanthropy* in 1997, “so that will be the [foundation’s] focus.”

Long before the Supreme Court discovered constitutional abortion “rights” in *Roe v. Wade* (1973), Buffett and key business partner Charlie Munger, a liberal Republican, organized what Munger called an “underground railroad” for arranging abortions in states where the procedure was legal:

“Warren and I were revolutionaries. We created a church that was used as an underground railroad. We supported the Clergy Counseling Service [a group of liberal ministers who arranged abortions for women outside the U.S.]. The minister running it was cashiered by his own church for helping women get abortions. First I tried to persuade the church to let him continue. That failed. I called Warren and asked him to help me establish our own church. That we did. For years this minister ran the thing. **That was our contribution, trying to help so that society didn’t force women to give birth—to be held in a system [ecologist and overpopulation alarmist] Garrett Hardin called ‘mandatory motherhood’ [emphasis added].**”

It’s worth pointing out that Munger exhorts Berkshire shareholders to read Hardin’s 1993 book, *Living Within Limits*, and embrace its cynical message of reducing population via subsidized contraception. From the book: “We fail to mandate economic sanity because our brains are addled by . . . compassion.” “It is far cheaper to prevent the birth of an unwanted child than it is to take care of it later.”



Charlie Munger

Even after *Roe* made their work obsolete, Munger continued to serve as chief financial officer for Planned Parenthood Los Angeles, eventually folding the faux-church into the chapter to get in on the abortion business. “We were way ahead of the national office of Planned Parenthood in arranging abortions,” Munger later crowed. That included paying the legal bills of Leon Belous, a California doctor convicted in 1967 of administering an illegal abortion. Belous’ appeal was later used by the state supreme court to legalize abortion statewide in 1969 and by the U.S. Supreme Court to justify *Roe*.

The Buffett-Munger support for population control supposedly came to an end in 2003, when Buffett announced he would cease donating Berkshire Hathaway shares to pro-abortion groups in a resounding victory for the pro-life activists who boycotted the company into cutting off Planned Parenthood and its allies.

But victory proved a ruse.

The Butcher of Omaha

Far from suspending it, Buffett's support for anti-life groups was redirected into his family foundations—and skyrocketed.

Restoration News analyzed thousands of grants paid over the past two decades by the Illinois-based Howard G. Buffett Foundation, named for and led by Warren's eldest son; the New York-based NoVo Foundation, chaired by second son Peter; and the Susan Thompson Buffett Foundation, named for Warren's late ex-wife and headed by daughter Susie and her ex-husband, Allen Greenberg.

Between them, these philanthropies posted **\$15.5 billion** in revenues between 2002 and 2022, 81 percent of which came from Warren as cash or Berkshire Hathaway stock. (A portion of that sum came from Susan, who separated from Warren in 1977 but never divorced, and left her Berkshire shares to the foundation upon her death in 2004.)

Among the recipients seemingly cut off in 2003 was Family Health International (FHI), which used the funds to distribute the chemical quinacrine hydrochloride—illegal in the U.S.—used to sterilize women by burning their fallopian tubes. One of FHI's aims is to lower global population in part to combat global warming. After 2003, FHI received another \$7 million from the Susan Thompson Buffett Foundation.

Another is Ipas, which invented and markets manual vacuum aspiration technology—literally sucking out embryos and fetuses from the womb—in more than 100 countries. Since 2003, Ipas has received over \$422 million from Buffett family foundations—so much for cutting it off.

We've recorded the top pro-abortion groups funded by Buffett family foundations below. While groups like NARAL and Planned Parenthood are infamous, many of these groups are hardly known on the Right.

Planned Parenthood & affiliates	\$849,438,849
Marie Stopes International (MSI)	\$536,304,486
Population Services International	\$491,352,993
National Abortion Federation	\$436,826,239
DKT International	\$267,423,774
Guttmacher Institute	\$156,851,958
New Morning Foundation	\$118,216,186
EngenderHealth	\$101,779,885
Society of Family Planning	\$96,232,205
Pathfinder International	\$58,847,054
Center for Reproductive Rights	\$55,469,601
Population Council	\$48,907,723
NARAL Pro-Choice America & affiliates	\$46,424,282
National Network of Abortion Funds	\$46,398,462
National Women's Law Center	\$37,056,592
Gynuity Health Projects	\$36,411,962
Advocates for Youth	\$28,591,209
Physicians for Reproductive Choice and Health	\$28,039,588
Catholics for Choice	\$22,606,010
Population Reference Bureau	\$14,211,389
National Partnership for Women and Families	\$11,486,051

All data from 2000 to 2021 or 2022.

Marie Stopes International (MSI) is Britain's equivalent to Planned Parenthood and a top provider of (often illegal) abortions overseas. In 2021, MSI's Australian branch admitted that 1 in 20 women retain fragments of the baby and/or placenta in their uterus following an MSI abortion. A 2016 British government inquiry found that MSI doctors reportedly pressured patients as young as 16—some with learning disabilities—to undergo abortions, often ignoring or bulk-signing the pre-consent forms required by U.K. law to ensure that

women understand the consequences of aborting their babies. A year later, authorities discovered that MSI staff were “encouraged” to suggest patients undergo abortions because it was “linked to their performance bonuses,” which inspectors labeled its “cattle market culture.” (They also found that female staff were paid 45 percent less than their male counterparts.)

The head of MSI’s South African chapter boasted in 2007 before a British audience that “we do illegal abortions all over the world.” It got so bad that Zambia kicked MSI out of the country in 2012 for carrying out 490 illegal abortions. A former MSI staffer admitted that the group performed illegal abortions in Uganda under cover of providing birth control services. Pro-life activists report similar evils in Tanzania.



Marie Stopes International's campaign against the Supreme Court's decision to overturn *Roe v. Wade*, 2022.

Kenya, whose constitution stipulates that “the life of a person begins at conception,” has barred MSI from offering abortions in-country. In 2020, however, Kenyan police caught MSI pitching the decomposing corpses of 10 babies illegally aborted by unregistered MSI practitioners into a Nairobi dumpster. The men were arrested and the facility shut down.

It's likely that most, if not all, of the \$536 million paid to MSI's U.S. arm by Buffett family foundations went to fund countless abortions in the U.K. and Africa. And you're paying for it, too—USAID, our government's foreign aid arm, has distributed at least \$187 million to MSI and its African affiliates since 2010. It's also raked in \$455 million from the British government since 2009.

Another under-the-radar group is Pathfinder International, which used its funding to overturn the Trump administration's Mexico City Policy—a ban on federal funding of groups that perform abortions overseas created by Ronald Reagan and overturned by every Democratic president and reinstated by every Republican president since then.

In the past decade, Pathfinder used close to \$1 billion from US-AID to abort Africans, Asians, and Latin Americans and push looser abortion policies in poor countries. Pathfinder was seeded in the 1950s by Clarence Gamble, heir to the Procter & Gamble soap fortune, himself a committed eugenicist and ally of Planned Parenthood founder Margaret Sanger who sat on the group's board. Many are familiar

with Sanger's “Negro Project of the South” to expand birth control and abortion to southern blacks; few realize she got the idea from Clarence Gamble, the project's funder.

EngenderHealth is a little-known group that provides abortions in poor countries. Even less well-known is its original name: The Sterilization League of New Jersey, one of a crop of sterilization advocacy groups created by eugenicists in the 1930s (more on that later).

Then there's Gynuity Health Projects, a New York nonprofit that conducts horrifying experiments on women in Burkina Faso, a destitute West African country (GDP per person: \$715). Gynuity provides mail-order abortifacients in the U.S. designed to induce a miscarriage in the first trimester; the drugs tested in Africa would extend that to the second trimester (28 weeks). Excessive bleeding is a common side effect, requiring large quantities of blood bags to avoid lethal hemorrhaging. The Susan Thompson Buffett and Gates Foundations are Gynuity's top identified donors.

Advocates for Youth is one of the lead transgender groups grooming schoolchildren for gay sex, pedophilia, and HIV—thanks to \$29 million from Buffett. We've recorded its vision for sex education in classrooms, which includes teaching second-graders about sexual consent, fifth-graders about “trusted adults” whom they “can talk to about relationships” (invariably sexual), and tenth-graders about the latest medical breakthroughs that make living with HIV tolerable.



Student sex ed training materials from Advocates for Youth.

Buffett family foundations are also top donors to Arabella Advisors' \$1.6 billion "dark money" network, the most powerful leftist lobbying force in the country. We've traced \$215 million to Arabella's New Venture Fund and Hopewell Fund from Buffett family foundations. In fact, the Susan Thompson Buffett Foundation may be the largest single donor to the Hopewell Fund. It's likely that much of that money was passed through to activist groups such as Planned Parenthood and MSI, but a big portion funded Arabella's own in-house "pop-up" abortion fronts.

What's amazing is how much the Susan Thompson Buffett Foundation downplays its support for these causes. The simple website (BuffettScholarships.org) advertises grants for Nebraska college students, nothing more; visitors are sternly told that "other inquiries" will be ignored. That includes the left-leaning media such as Inside Philanthropy, which noted in 2020 that the Buffett Foundation often includes a "funding stipulation" against grantees talking with the media.



Susan Thompson Buffett Foundation's home page says nothing about funding abortion groups.

Yet it's believed that the 91-year-old Warren may leave as much as \$100 billion to his family foundations to bankroll abortion groups after he dies—grimly satisfying his Giving Pledge to support "philanthropy."

Aborting the Third World

Amazingly, the billions Warren Buffett has shelled out to his family foundations pales in comparison to the nearly **\$33 billion** he's funneled into the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation since 2006, accounting for 39 percent of its total revenues. Those days may be at an end since Bill and Melinda's divorce, however, which prompted Buffett to resign from the board.

The Gates Foundation is famous for funding numerous public health campaigns, including development and dissemination of the COVID-19 mRNA vaccine. It also deserves notoriety as one of the world's top funders of global population control measures in developing countries.



Bill Gates

We've traced \$107 million from the Gates Foundation to Planned Parenthood; \$112 million to Marie Stopes International; \$17 million to the Guttmacher Institute; and \$22 million to Ipas. But that's nothing compared to the \$5.5 billion paid by the foundation to the World Health Organization, which considers abortion access essential to a woman's "state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being." In fact, pro-abortion groups routinely lobby for federal laws to enshrine abortion rights in the U.S. Constitution and preempt state restrictions "in accordance with the 2022 World Health Organization Abortion Care guidelines."

We've also traced \$862 million from Gates to a spat of organizations that support global depopulation, in part through abortion, sterilization, and mass contraception: the Population Council, Population Reference Bureau, Population Services International, Population Foundation of India, Population Action International, and the United Nations Population Fund.

The last of these is closely aligned with the UN’s Family Planning 2020 campaign, whose top private donor is the Gates Foundation. (Sadly, you’re also paying for it through USAID.) The campaign’s objective was extending “reproductive health and rights” to 120 million people in poor countries by the end of 2020, in part by loosening local abortion laws. Planned Parenthood, Marie Stopes International, and other pro-abortion groups are members. When the campaign failed to meet its goal, it simply readjusted—meet Family Planning 2030, which has expanded its scope to include altering “gender norms” (read: gay marriage), “inclusivity of all genders,” and promoting local “feminist movements.”



United Nations Population Fund & Family Planning 2030 campaigns

Touching a Nerve

But Buffett’s support for political extremists hasn’t gone entirely unnoticed. One man exposing the truth is Peter Flaherty, chairman of the conservative National Legal and Policy Center (NLPC), who proposed Berkshire Hathaway create an independent chair—distancing Buffett from the toxic Gates Foundation—at the company’s annual meeting in May 2023.

Shareholders such as Flaherty are usually allowed three minutes to justify their proposals and rarely interrupted. Instead, his microphone was cut and Flaherty was arrested by Omaha police for “criminal trespass”—the first time a shareholder proponent has ever been arrested at a Berkshire annual meeting. Flaherty’s full remarks, which were only partially delivered before the mic went dead, are below:



NLPC chairman Peter Flaherty arrested at Berkshire Hathaway shareholder meeting.

Credit: National Legal & Policy Center.

“If we had an independent chair, the Company would be less identified with Mr. Buffett’s political activities. He’s donated tens of billions to the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. As Bill Gates explained when the couple was still together, ‘although the foundation bears our names, basically half our resources have come from Warren Buffett.’

If ‘woke’ culture is a disease, then philanthropy is the virus.

The Gates Foundation bankrolls the teaching of Critical Race Theory around the country, including that math is inherently racist. The Gates Foundation offers a Gender Identity Toolbox which asserts that gender is the result of ‘socially and culturally constructed ideas.’

This is a lie. Gender is not a cultural construct. It is a genetic and biological fact.

We know how much Bill Gates cares about children. He met and traveled with Jeffrey Epstein many times after Epstein was convicted of sex crimes.

The Gates Foundation had a huge influence over the COVID response fiasco. Bill Gates defended China’s COVID policies and still discounts the possibility that the virus originated from a lab, even though U.S. intelligence agencies disagree.

The Gates Foundation may be the largest single donor to the “dark money” machine known as Arabella [Advisors]. It funds causes like defunding the police that are making American cities unlivable. Money goes, too, to groups conducting threatening and vulgar protests at [the] homes of Supreme Court Justices.

Mr. Buffett has quietly funneled more than \$4 billion to groups supporting abortion on demand through the Susan Thompson Buffett Foundation. That’s \$4 billion, with a B. Advocacy disguised as philanthropy.

Bill Gates has lamented political polarization and has even worried aloud about a civil war. But it is billionaires who are funding the most shrill and extreme activists who are tearing our country apart. Ironically, Mr. Buffett has pointed out that corporate executives can make a lot of people mad when they insert themselves into controversy.

Anheuser-Busch is finding that out. It cannot renounce its Dylan Mulvaney transgender promotion because it is handcuffed by its longtime support for activists who would turn on them in a minute.

Anheuser-Busch gets a perfect grade on the Human Rights Campaign scorecard, as do Berkshire portfolio companies like Coca-Cola, Bank of America, and Apple. Bank of America and Apple help bankroll this group, which wants biological men to compete in women’s sports. Worse, it is currently pressuring state legislatures to allow sex change operations on children and to keep their parents out of the decision.

Let’s revisit Coca-Cola, which I discussed at last year’s meeting. CEO James Quincey, a British citizen, vowed to kill Georgia’s voter integrity law in 2021. That’s the law that President Biden called “Jim Crow 2.0,” and which prompted Major League Baseball to move the All-Star game out of Atlanta. Mr. Buffett jumped on the bandwagon, too, by signing a statement by corporate leaders suggesting that Republicans seek to restrict ballot access based on race.

Two years later, we can now evaluate that accusation. Last year, an election was held in Georgia. Turnout was record-breaking. According to an independent poll, 99% of voters said they had “no problem” casting ballots.

92% said the new law either had no impact on their ability to vote or made it easier. James Quincey was wrong, and Mr. Buffett, so were you.”

“Our proposal was for an independent chair so different people would be chairman and CEO, ‘Good Corporate Governance 101,’” Flaherty told Restoration News. “We sponsored the same resolution at 8 other companies in 2023, and it’s generally supported by both liberal and conservative shareholder activists.

“People say Warren Buffett is perceived to be Berkshire Hathaway, and that’s certainly true—what we’re saying is that this reality actually increases the company’s reputational risks, given the unimaginable sums Buffett has steered to controversial social and political causes. But public scrutiny, especially concerning his association with Bill Gates, is starting to catch up.”

NLPC has engaged in shareholder activism since 2004, long before it was popular with political groups. Back then “I got funny looks from other conservatives,” Flaherty said, with Newsweek reporting on an NLPC-sponsored Walmart overture like a “‘man-bites-dog’ story.”

But as the trend caught on, most recently with the Right’s wildly successful boycott of Bud Lite and Target, it’s helped NLPC advise the conservative movement in defeating progressivism in the boardroom. Flaherty generally advises against divestment strategies—“if an institution has already divested from fossil fuel companies, it can be asked to divest from, say, companies that support anti-Semitism”—and counsels right-leaning shareholders to demand firms stay out of policy fights that have nothing to do with their core business.

With Buffett, he’s “skillfully crafted a public image for himself as the grandfatherly mid-westerner who is happy to dispense his wisdom so everyone can achieve the American dream by investing in stocks.” His patience and common sense resonate with conservatives and moderates. And Buffett’s benefitted from both an “incurious media” and routing his population control funding through “foundations run by others.”

“Then I came along and say this (sic) his philanthropy is the virus that spreads woke culture, and my mic is cut and I’m immediately arrested. Why? I was messing with his legacy,” Flaherty explained.

But that’s not the end of the story. NLPC, with Flaherty at the helm, plans to introduce a follow-up proposal at Berkshire’s 2024 meeting: “It’s important for all shareholders in public companies that I not be silenced.”

“Three Generations of Imbeciles Are Enough”

The ugly truth is that Omaha’s King of Abortion is only the latest in a long line of patricians bent on limiting the Earth’s population.

Philosophically speaking, there’s a straight line from Charles Darwin’s rejection of the Genesis creation account, to the advent of Social Darwinism, to Anglo-America’s eugenics crusade in the 1930s, through the death of Hitler’s Third Reich. That story ends in forced sterilization, mass abortion, and the 1960s Sexual Revolution, paid for by the biggest foundations in the world.

Put differently, the same assumptions fueling Hitler’s attempts to create a race of *Übermenschen* lie behind post-war Western elites’ efforts to stop Asians, Indians, and Africans from having too many children. It was Darwin’s cousin, the brilliant statistician Francis Galton, who coined the term “eugenics” to describe the study of weeding out the human gene pool. “Eugenics is the self-direction of human evolution,” declared the Second International Eugenics Congress a few decades later in 1921. “National Socialism is nothing but applied biology,” echoed the Nazis in the 1930s.

Eugenics has more accurately been described as “the religion of the aristocrats.” A century ago, our elites called such ideas cutting-edge “science.” Today they call it “philanthropy.”

In America, Corn Flakes inventor John Harvey Kellogg bankrolled Michigan’s Race Betterment Foundation in 1906 to ensure that only individuals with the proper racial pedigree had children. In 1917, the Rockefeller family and Carnegie Institution of Washington built the Eugenics Record Office on Long Island, New York, to support the heredity research of biologist Charles Davenport, founder of the International Federation of Eugenics Organizations.

In New York City, progressive patrician Madison Grant (a close friend of Davenport’s) used Darwin’s theory to lobby Congress to close U.S. immigration to non-“Nordics” to save the “master race” from extinction by mis-reproduction, as well as to save America’s natural resources—the

birth of the National Parks system. To Grant and good friend Theodore Roosevelt, the two were mirror goals.

Grant's opus, *The Passing of the Great Race* (1916), presented a novel world history so race-obsessed it might've been written by a modern "progressive." Under its influence, 75 percent of American universities offered eugenics courses by the late 1920s. In Germany, the book was a smash hit among Third Reich leadership. It was even featured in some Nazis' legal defense at the 1945 Nuremberg Trials—after all, they argued, the Germans were merely putting into practice the ideas formulated in the U.S. and Great Britain!

The book inspired another major figure of the 20th century: Margaret Sanger. At one point Sanger declared that eugenics and birth control—a term that then meant *vastly* more than simple contraception—"should be and are the right and left hand of one body," since the movements were both concerned with *who* reproduces and *how often*.

In the United Kingdom, Marie Stopes—the British Margaret Sanger—shared the same convictions. In 1935, both women joined Davenport and countless elites in Berlin's World Population Conference, where they called for government restrictions on reproduction and strict eugenics laws to safeguard the future of the "Nordic" race. Today, Marie Stopes International continues her work while pretending not to bear her legacy.

Eugenic policies hinged on sterilization—sometimes by force. One of the first laws Hitler's regime passed in 1933 created courts with the authority to sterilize anyone—400,000 by 1945—deemed "hereditarily diseased." Where'd they get the idea? A Planned Parenthood board member, Harry H. Laughlin, who drafted a model bill intended for the U.S. Congress. Sanger vocally praised the German law in a press release.

And in 1927, the U.S. Supreme Court upheld forced sterilization laws in *Buck v. Bell*, with Chief Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes Jr.—a progressive and secularist hero—infamously opining, "**three generations of imbeciles are enough.**"



Credit: Rockefeller Archive Center.



John D. Rockefeller 3rd meeting with President Lyndon B. Johnson, 1968.

Killing 'Em with Kindness

But surely that was then. Haven't we've learned our lesson? Not quite.

The Population Council—which has received \$49 million from Buffett's foundations—was created in 1952 with funding from John D. Rockefeller 3rd. Its first major target was India, where it aimed to curb overpopulation and Soviet influence in the socialist (albeit non-aligned) country. Under pressure from the United Nations, Population Council, Planned Parenthood, and Ford and Rockefeller Foundations, India began paying women to use IUDs and men to be sterilized, with a \$66 million loan from the World Bank.

When bad harvests threatened mass starvation in the 1960s, President Lyndon Johnson refused to send food until it agreed to greater sterilization incentives. The Indian government instituted a two-child policy, suspended the constitution, and ordered compulsory sterilization measures in what became known as India's "vasectomy belt."

Credit: Hindustan Times.



Forced sterilization surgery at a "family planning camp," 1975.



Villages were ringed with armed police, the men trundled out at sword- and gun-point and told they would be sterilized by force. Women had IUDs forcibly inserted. Those who resisted were beaten, loaded onto buses, and brought to hospitals to be sterilized. The government rewarded teachers and public employees with bonuses for getting their neighbors and family members sterilized. In some states people with more than three children were banned from holding a government job, a major source of employment in the socialist country, until they were sterilized. Elsewhere, teachers' salaries were withheld until they were sterilized. Some states withheld food.

Credit: Disha Bijolia, Homegrown, 2022.



India's forced sterilization campaign devastated millions of families.

It's estimated that India sterilized tens of millions of people throughout the "emergency," as the mid-1970s are remembered. In 1976 alone, 6.2 million men were

sterilized—15 *times* the number sterilized by the Nazis across 12 years. Amazingly, the Population Council wanted to go even further by distributing a “fertility control agent” in urban water supplies. At the same time, biologist Paul Ehrlich bemoaned India’s teeming masses in his 1968 book *The Population Bomb*, which famously warned the world would succumb to overpopulation by the 1980s unless we adopted a socialist, hard-“green” one-world government.

India remains the greatest success story in the dark history of population control, yet it’s hardly remembered in the West. And none of it would have happened without the intervention of massive U.S. funding. India’s killing spree may have been wrought by socialists, but they were socialists following the dictate of “enlightened” Washington and its legion of social engineers, ultra-wealthy foundations, and secular humanists following an amoral religion—Scientism.

This was philanthropy perverted, Christ’s commandment to love thy neighbor mutated into pure malice. And it was done with the best of intentions. Arthur Herman explains it best in his excellent book *The Idea of Decline in Western History*:

“The chief appeal of racism in the nineteenth century was its politically progressive, even liberal message. . . . In short, the whole direction of racial thinking in Europe was one of liberal egalitarian optimism.”

It may seem bizarre, but today’s “progressives”—like W.E.B. DuBois, Marcus Garvey, Herbert Marcuse, and Saul Alinsky before them—are the heirs of this rotten legacy. So are Warren Buffett, Bill Gates, Charlie Munger, and all those who love death.

*Carter Gaskill and Bronson Winslow
contributed to this report.*

Hayden Ludwig
Director of Policy Research
hl@restorationpac.com

Restoration of America
restorationofamerica.com



